KANEPACKAGE PHILIPPINE INC.

No. 5 Ring Road LISP II, Brgy. La Mesa, Calamba City, Laguna
Telephone No. (049) 545-7166 to 69
Fax No. (049) 545-6302

INVESTIGATION REPORT FORM (IRF)

☑ Inhouse Detection	☐ Customer Claim
Control No.: IRF-24-03-0016	Date Issued: 11-Mar-24

Customer	EPPI	Attention To	N. CEPEDA/ R. ALMARIO
Item Code	5165877-00/ 5165879-00	Department	KPLIMA- PRODUCTION
Item Description	LIONEL 2 MBL EUROPE	Date of Detection	240309 DS/NS
Job Order Number	07294/ 07296	Section Detected	INLINE QA M4

ILLUSTRATION OF THE PROBLEM

☐ Major	☑ Minor

Lot Quantity (pcs.)	Reject Quantity (pcs.)	Reject Percentage
624	123	19.71%

Nature of Defect:

TEAR OFF
JO-07294 with 16.42% (66/402)
JO-07296 with 25.67% (57/222)

ITEM SHOULD BE IN GOOD CONDITION; NO OCCURRENCE OF TEAR OFF

Actual:

TEAR OFF WAS ENCOUNTERED ON THE ITEM
(PLEASE SEE ATTACHED PICTURE)

NO. OF OCCURRENCE	DISPOSITION	AREA OF OCCURRENCE / ORIGIN		CONTENT
☑ First	☐ Hold	☐ Slotter	☐ Gluing	☐ Material
☐ Recurrence	☐ Special Acceptance	☐ EQOS	☐ Vertical	☐ Dimension
☐ No.:	☐ For Rework	☑ Diecut	☐ Others:	☐ Appearance
☐ Date:	☑ Reject / Disposal	☐ Detaching		☑ Process / Method

Issued by	Checked by	Approved by	Received by (Receiving Section)
J.Tapay	G. Magsino		N. Cepeda/ R. Almario
QA-IE Staff	QA Supervisor	QA Asst. Manager	Head/ Supervisor/ Manager

I. INVESTIGATION / ANALYSIS

	DIRECT CAUSE: (Analyze the reason of occurrence, why it happened?)	INDIRECT CAUSE: (Analyze the reason of occurrence, why it leaked?)
System / Training	Why 1: Why 2: Why 3: Why 4: Why 5:	Why 1: Why 2: Why 3: Why 4: Why 5:
Design / Toolings	Why 1: Why 2: Why 3: Why 4: Why 5:	Why 1: Why 2: Why 3: Why 4: Why 5:
Process / Material	Why 1: Why 2: Why 3: Why 4: Why 5:	Why 1: Why 2: Why 3: Why 4: Why 5:

LQA-006-F01 REV.00

KANEPACKAGE PHILIPPINE INC.

No. 5 Ring Road LISP II, Brgy. La Mesa, Calamba City, Laguna
Telephone No. (049) 545-7166 to 69
Fax No. (049) 545-6302

INVESTIGATION REPORT FORM (IRF)

FINAL CONCLUSION

OCCURRENCE ROOTCAUSE	OUTFLOW ROOTCAUSE

IMMEDIATE ACTION: (Action to be done to contain/ temporary correct the problem found)	CORRECTIVE ACTION: (Actions to be done to ensure that the problem will not happen again)		

A. Sorting Result

	Location	Total Stock	NG	Total Good		Actions to be done to eliminate recurrence	Who / When
RM					System		
WIP					System		
FG					System		

B. Orientation

Date		Time		Design / Tools		
Title				Design / Tools		
Attendees				Design / Tools		

C. Reworking

Rework Quantity		Process		
Total Good		Process		
Rework Percentage (Good)		Process		

II. QA ROOTCAUSE VERIFICATION (To be filled out by QA In-charge)

Date Conducted:_____   PIC:_____

Identified Rootcause	Recommendation

III. CORRECTIVE ACTION VERIFICATION (To be filled out by QA In-charge)

	Checked by	Date	Implemented?	Remarks
1st Verification of Action			[ ] Yes [ ] No	
2nd Verification of Action			[ ] Yes [ ] No	
3rd Verification of Action			[ ] Yes [ ] No	
Effectiveness of Action			[ ] Yes [ ] No	

Note: If no same defects / problems occurs for 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries or 3rd verification of action still not yet implemented, Investigation Report shall be re-issued to the affected department to provide new improvement action.

IV. CLOSURE

Status:	Remarks:	Approved by:		Process Owner Acknowledgment: (Receiving Section)	
☐ Closed		QA Supervisor	QA Asst. Manager	Line Leader	Department Head
☐ Still Open		Date:	Date:	Date:	Date:
☐ Re-Issue IRF					

LQA-006-F01 REV.00